1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 TERRY J. BURTON, 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-02-0675 LKK PAN P 12 VS. 13 D.L. RUNNELS, Warden, et al., 14 Respondents. **ORDER** 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ 17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On April 21, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner 22 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24

304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

25

26

## Case 2:02-cv-00675-LKK-EFB Document 89 Filed 09/29/06 Page 2 of 2

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 21, 2006, are adopted in full;
- 2. Petitioner's claims that his trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance and that the California Superior Court erred when it denied petitioner's motions for DNA testing are dismissed as untimely; and
- 3. Petitioner's claim that his Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment rights were violated by the trial court's introduction of his un-Mirandized statement is denied on the merits. DATED: September 29, 2006.

LAWRENCE K. KARLTON

SENIOR JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT